Published online. Review Article

# Effects of Radiofrequency (Cell Phones and Television) on Migraine and Headache Symptoms in Children and Adolescents

Hashem Lashgari Kalat<sup>1</sup>, Elnaz Askaripour<sup>2</sup>, Raziye Najafabadipour<sup>2</sup>, Mohammad Hasan Mohammadi<sup>3\*</sup>, Masumeh Ghazanfarpour<sup>4</sup>, Roya Nikbakht<sup>5</sup>, Omolbanin Heydari<sup>6</sup>

- 1. Department of Pediatrics, Clinical Research Development Center of Children's Hospital, Hormozgan University of Medical Science, Bandar Abbas, Iran
- 2. Clinical Research Development Center of Children's Hospital, Hormozgan University of Medical Science, Bandar Abbas, Iran
- 3. Department of Pediatrics, Zabol University of Medical Sciences, Zabol, Iran
- 4. Department of Nursing and Midwifery, Student Research Committee, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran
- 5. Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Faculty of Health, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari,
- 6. School of Nursing and Midwifery, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran
- \* Corresponding author: Mohammadhasan Mohammadi, Department of Pediatrics, Zabol University of Medical Sciences, Zabol, Iran. Email: dr.mohammadimh@yahoo.com.

Received 2023 May 18; Accepted 2024 October 26.

#### **Abstract**

**Background:** The present study aimed to assess the possible effects of using mobile phones during pregnancy on headaches and migraines in children and adolescents.

**Objectives:** Migraine and Headache Symptoms are attributed to Radiofrequency (Cell Phones and Television) in Children. It is worth noting that recent studies have not been able to indicate a convincing causal relationship with Radiofrequency.

**Methods:** The current meta-analysis, organized based on the PRISMA statement, was performed by searching the relevant articles published in PubMed, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, and Scopus. Original articles published in English from inception until April 1, 2023, were retrieved in this study. The quality of the articles included in the systematic review was scored based on the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.

**Results:** A heterogeneity test was performed for headaches (children, adolescents, and both groups) and migraine. Considering that the chi-square test result was not significant (P>0.05), the results of the fixed model were reported. Based on the reported results, the odds ratio estimate of the meta-analysis of children's headaches in the Fixed model was equal to 1.51. Furthermore, the results of the meta-analysis demonstrated that the odds ratio of headache in adolescents was 1.48, and in both groups (children and adolescents), it was 1.51. The meta-analysis on migraine, with only two studies in this field, was equal to 1.52.

**Conclusion:** As evidenced by the obtained results, exposure to radiofrequency was related to migraine and headache symptoms. There are no high-quality randomized control trials (RCTs) and/ or multiple consistent non-RCTs without methodological flaws.

Keywords: Adolescents, Cell phones, Children, Headache, Migraine, Radiofrequency

# 1. Background

The majority of families today have access to television, video devices, computers, and

the Internet. Listening to the radio or music is common among almost all adolescents, and almost all of them have a cell phone (1). Nowadays, it is impossible to imagine a

modern social person who does not use a cell phone or Wi-Fi, and the use of these technologies has increased significantly in recent decades (2,3). Currently, there are more than 700 million cell phone users across the globe. These cell phones produce various frequencies in different countries and continents. Exposure to radiofrequency (RF) energy depends on the frequency of cell phones. Analog telephones produce 450-900 MHz, digital telephones 1900-850 MHz, and third-generation telephones approximately 2000 MHz (4). Cell phones are also one of the devices that emit low levels of RF in the microwave range during use (5). Children and adolescents are an important age group due to the high use of cell phones. The potential for higher vulnerability is arguable due to greater lifetime exposure, a still-developing nervous system, and greater conductivity of brain tissue. They start using cell phones at a younger age and use them more than today's adults (6). Nonspecific symptoms, such as headaches, sleep problems, or concentration problems, are consistently attributed to cell phone use and exposure to cell phone stations (7, 8). Headache is one of the most serious health problems worldwide (1).

### 2. Objectives

In epidemiological studies, headache has been reported as one of the most common symptoms; nonetheless, it is worth noting that recent studies have not been able to indicate a convincing causal relationship with cell phones (9). In light of the aforementioned issues, the present study aimed to assess the effect of RF on migraine and headaches in children and adolescents.

#### 3. Methods

The current meta-analysis, organized on the basis of the PRISMA statement, was performed by searching the relevant articles published in

PubMed, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, and Scopus. Original articles published in English from inception until April 1, s2023, were retrieved in this study (10). The combination of keywords used in the search was based on Mesh, as well as the keywords listed in related articles, including "Mobile," "Prenatal period," "Radiofrequency exposure," "Cell phone," "electromagnetic field," "Cellular "Migraine," "Child." We also hand-searched the gray literature and reference lists of identified papers. We also sent an email request to ask about missing data and the availability of the full-text.

Inclusion criteria: Original studies, the available full text of articles, studies investigating childhood migraine due to exposure to RF, electromagnetic field radiation during pregnancy, and after birth.

**Exclusion criteria:** Publication of an article in several sources, articles published in a source with low credibility, studies examining barriers to sexual dialogue in Western and European countries, non-English language articles, unpublished studies, letters to the editor entered into the review.

Data extraction: The Cochrane data extraction tool was modified and utilized to collect the data from each study. Two authors separately reviewed and extracted the information below from the selected studies and reached a consensus after exchanging opinions. Extracted information included the author's name, year publication, country, study population, exposure characteristics, exposure severity scale, and study outcomes. The data extracted from each of the articles are presented in Table 1. Two independent reviewers performed Data extraction, and discussion was used in case of controversy.

11

Razavi Int J Med. .....e1270

Table 1. Results of data extraction

			oic ii nesaits	oi uata extra		
First author/ year/ country	Study design	Study population	Duration of study	Type of exposure	Scale of exposure intensity	Outcomes/results
Heinrich et al. /2010 /Germany (11)	Population- Based Cross- Sectional Study	1484 children and 1508 adolescents aged 8-10 years and 13- 15 years, respectively	2006- 2007	Cell phones (based on wireless and WLAN phones)	Dosimetry/self- reported exposure	Greater severity of headache, agitation, and concentration problems in adolescents exposed
Milde-Busch et al./2010 /Germany (12)	Population- Based Cross- Sectional Study	1025 adolescents aged 13-17 years	2010	Cell phones	Self-reported exposure	Greater intensity of headache in adolescents with daily listening to music
Sudan et al. / 2010 / USA (13)	Population- Based Cross- Sectional Study	52,680 pregnant women and children	1996- 2002	Prenatal cell phone exposure	Self-reported exposure	The likelihood of migraine and headache-related symptoms in children who were exposed to cell phones was higher than in children who were not exposed to contact.
Söderqvist et al. / 2008 / USA (14)	Cross- Sectional Study	2000 Swedish adolescents aged 15-19 years	2005- 2006	Cordless phones	Self-reported exposure	Greater severity of headache, agitation, and concentration problems in adolescents exposed Greater intensity of headache in adolescents with daily listening to music The likelihood of migraine and headache-related symptoms in children who were exposed to cell phones was higher than in children who were not exposed to contact. Health complaints include fatigue, stress, headaches, anxiety, concentration problems, and sleep disturbances among regular wireless phone users.

Quality assessment: Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was applied to evaluate the quality of cohort and case-control studies. NOS has three major domains: comparability, observed outcome, and selection of the patient based on this criterion, the articles that scored 6 and above were included in the study. The scores of 4-5 were considered a moderate risk of bias, and scores below 4 were regarded as a high risk of bias. The minimum score in this criterion was 0, and the maximum score was 9 (Table 2, 3). Based on this criterion, the articles that scored 6 or

above were classified as good-quality articles (11). Two independent reviewers performed the quality assessment, and if there were any differences in opinion, it was resolved by discussion.

Data were analyzed using Stata software (version 13.1). Finally, the heterogeneity index between studies was determined by the I2 and Q Cochran test. Heterogeneity is interpreted as mild if the I2 value is < 25%, moderate if the I2 ranges from between 25% to 50%, severe if the I2 is between 50% and 75%, and highly severe if the I2 is >75%. The

significance level was considered to be less than 0.05. A random or fixed effect model

was used to report data based on heterogeneity level.

Table 2. Quality score of the cohort studies included in the systematic review based on the Newcastle Ottawa Scale

Assessment items	Heinrich et al. (11)	Milde-Busch et al. (12)	Sudan et a1. (13)	Söderqvist et al. (14)
The exposed cohort is truly representative of the average condition of the exposed population	1	1	1	1
The non-exposed cohort is taken from the same population as the exposed cohort.	?	?	1	1
Confirmation of exposure using a reliable file/structured interview	1	1	1	1
Comparability of cohorts based on gender and age	1	1	1	1
Comparability of cohorts based on other factors	1	1	1	1
independent and blind assessment of outcomes/ reliable data	1	1	1	1
Adequacy of the follow-up period for the occurrence of consequences	1	1	1	1
Full follow-up of all samples	1	1	1	1
The improbability of creating bias with the origin of missing samples	-	-	-	-
Total score	7	7	8	8

Table 3. Estimated ORs of Headache and Migraines and their 95% confidence intervals for each study

ID	First author (publication date)	Subjects	Exposure	Method of detection	OR	95% CI
1	Heinrich et al. (2010)	Children	mobile phone	Headache	2.33	(0.98 -5.54)
2	Heinrich et al. (2010)	Adolescents	mobile phone	Headache	1.55	(1.05-2.29)
3	Fredrik Söderqvist et al. (2008)	Adolescents	wireless telephones such as phones (DECT)mobile and cordless	Headache	1.5	(1.2-2.1)
4	Sudan et al. (2012)	Children	Cell Phone	Headache	1.51	(1.42–1.60)
5	Sudan et al. (2012)	Children	Cell Phone	migraines	1.51	(1.20–1.91)
6	Milde-Busch et al. (2010)	Adolescents	Mobile phone	Headache	1.2	(0.7-2.0)
7	Milde-Busch et al. (2010)	Adolescents	Mobile phone	migraines	1.7	(0.4-7.0)

Razavi Int J Med. .....e1270




Figure 1. Flowchart of the Search Process

# 4. Results

The detailed PRISMA flow chart is shown in Figure. 1. A heterogeneity test was performed for headaches (children, adolescents, and both groups) and migraine. Considering that the chi-square test result was not significant (P>0.05), the results of the fixed model were reported. Based on the reported results (Table 4), the odds ratio estimate of the meta-analysis of children's headaches in the Fixed model was equal to 1.51. Furthermore, the results of the meta-

analysis illustrated that the odds ratio of headache in adolescents was 1.48, and in both groups (children and adolescents), it was 1.51. The meta-analysis on migraine, where there were only two studies in this field, was equal to 1.52.

Figure 2 (left side) displays the information of all five studies and their weights based on the fixed model for the odds ratio. Meta-analysis estimate of odds ratio for headache in children and adolescents was plotted separately. The highest weight (89.78%) was assigned to the

study of Sudan (2012) et al., and the lowest weight (0.42%) pertained to the study by Heinrich et al. (2010).

Figure 3 depicts the information of all two

studies and their weights based on the fixed model for the odds ratio of migraine. The highest weight (97.44%) was assigned to the study of Sudan et al. (2012).

Table 4. Estimated ORs of headache and migraines and their 95% confidence intervals; estimation was performed based on a fixed effect model

Symptoms	Subjects	N	Fixed- effect model (95% CI)	P-value of Heterogeneity test <sup>1</sup>
	Children	2	1.51 (1.42, 1.60)	0.318
Headache	Adolescents	3	1.48 (1.24, 1.77)	0.705
	Children+ Adolescents	5	1.51 (1.43, 1.59)	0.782
Migraines	Children+ Adolescents	2	1.52 (1.20, 1.91)	0.873

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Chi-squared test




Figure 2. Forest plot showing weights (%) for each of five studies of headaches (left-hand side), two studies of children's headaches (on the top of right-hand side), and three studies of adolescents' headaches (on the bottom of right-hand side), with pooled OR (fixed-effects model)




Figure 3. Forest plot showing weights (%) for each of two studies of Migraines, with pooled OR (fixed-effects model)

Razavi Int J Med. ....e1270

### Charts related to publication bias

Begg's test and funnel plot can be employed to check publication bias. In fact, this test interprets the funnel plot in a statistical way. If the funnel plot is symmetrical and the p-value is not significant, it can be concluded that there is no publication bias.

As illustrated in Figure 4, considering that the funnel plot is symmetrical, it can be concluded that there is no heterogeneity between studies. Moreover, the p-value for the OR index for headache symptoms using Begg's test was equal to 0.822, indicating the absence of publication bias.

## Sensitivity analysis

In sensitivity analysis, it is possible to evaluate the impact of each study on the final result. In fact, sensitivity analysis can make it possible to know how much each study contributes to the overall outcome of the studied index.

Based on the last row of Table 5, the total odds ratio for both headache and migraine is equal to 1.51. The upper lines demonstrate what the total would be if each study were removed. The exclusion of individual studies caused the headache odds ratio to change slightly.




Figure 4. Begg's Funnel plot of OR with 95% confidence limits for Headaches (left-hand side) and Migraines (right-hand side)

Table 5. Sensitivity analysis of OR for each of the five studies

Chudu amithad	Coefficient (95%CI)		
Study omitted	Headache	Migraine	
Heinrich (2010)	1.50 (1.42, 1.59)	-	
Heinrich (2010)	1.51 (1.42, 1.59)	-	
Fredrik Söderqvist (2008)	1.51 (1.42, 1.60)	-	
Sudan (2012)	1.50 (1.26, 1.79)	1.70 (0.40, 7.24)	
Milde-Busch (2010)	1.51 (1.43, 1.60)	1.51 (1.19, 1.91)	
Combined	1.51 (1.43, 1.59)	1.51 (1.20, 1.91)	

Fixed-effect model

# 5. Discussion

In recent years, the frequency of cell phone use in families has increased drastically, and therefore, children are also exposed to waves. The use of social media for children's health has both benefits and risks. The notable benefits can include exposure to vast

knowledge and sources of new ideas and social support and an increase in new opportunities to access health promotion messages and information. On the other hand, the potential risks include adverse health effects (such as exposure to microwave radiation, child weight, eye damage/eye irritation, loneliness, ear suffers damage, type

Razavi Int J Med. ....: e1270.

of cancers, and sleep problems) and exposure to incorrect, inappropriate, or unsafe content and contacts which threaten a person's privacy and confidentiality (15, 16). Since children's nervous system is growing, and for anatomical reasons, children have smaller heads and thinner skulls, their brain tissues absorb more waves than adults (17, 18). The results of this research indicated that the use of television and cell phones in the vicinity of children or by themselves has harmful effects on their health, such as the occurrence of headaches.

One of the most common symptoms reported in this study was the occurrence of headaches in children or adolescents following the use of television and cell phones. The prevalence of headaches in children and students is one of the most serious health problems in the world (19). In a study by Zheng et al. (2014) in China, the results pointed out a significant relationship between the occurrence of headaches and the use of cell phones in children. Moreover, they reported that daily use of cell phones by children elevated the risk of headaches up to 2.8 times per person. Chiu et al. (2015) demonstrated that children who regularly use mobile phones have 1.42 times the odds ratio of headaches and migraines than other children (20). Another study conducted by de Vitta et al. (2020) in Brazil on factors affecting the occurrence of headaches in students revealed that the initial prevalence headaches in these people was around 60.7%, which could be caused by the use of electronic devices, such as television and cell phone (19). In line with the results of our study, Cho et al. (2016) in South Korea illustrated that increasing the duration of phone calls was the cause of aggravating and increasing headaches and reducing the duration of calls to less than 5 minutes was associated with a decrease in the incidence of headaches (21). In another study, a significant relationship was observed between listening to music on headphones and headaches in 13-17-year-old adolescents (12). Another study documented that all types

screen-based activities increase the incidence of headaches with the increase in the number of hours spent using the screen (22). Research has also demonstrated that the high prevalence of this phenomenon is directly related to the decrease in people's socioeconomic conditions since low-income groups may have less access to a healthy diet, optimal stress management, health, and job services (19, 23).

Social technology use brings various advantages and disadvantages for mental and /or physical health. Another common problem in the target group is the occurrence of tension headaches after being exposed to electronic screens (15, 24). Oksanen et al. (2005) found that children with migraine tend to use computers more frequently compared to those with migraine (25). The duration of contact and the type of body position when using electronic devices are directly related to the occurrence of stress headaches, and this can be caused by the effect of thermal sensation due to exposure to radiofrequencyelectromagnetic field (RF-EMF) (21). Using the mentioned devices for more than three hours a day is associated with the occurrence of stress headaches (19). A study revealed that this type of headache can be caused by sleep problems and the feeling of heat in the ears, and these symptoms are also dependent on the dose and the number of hours of using these devices during the day (26). It has been proven that behavioral interventions are very effective, especially in the treatment of pediatric headaches, and can replace drug therapy by eliminating or reducing the use of electronic devices (15). In addition, the use of television in the public space of the home, not only in the bedroom, and eliminating the use of digital devices (in the morning, while eating and sleeping) and using them for up to two hours a day in school-aged children can greatly help reduce migraines in children.

A wide range of results were reported by different studies investigating prenatal cell phone exposure and its effect on the child and the neurodevelopment depending on the child's development. Haghani et al. investigated the possible effects of prenatal exposure to cell phone waves (about six hours a day) on male and female rats and found that prenatal exposure to electromagnetic field (EMF) led to marked changes in the electrophysiological properties of Purkinje neurons. Nonetheless, these changes may not be severe enough to alter cerebellardependent functional tasks. Birks et al. (2017), in a multinational cohort study of 83,884 mother-child pairs regarding the effects of prenatal cell phone use, demonstrated that maternal cell phone use during pregnancy may be associated with an increased risk of behavioral problems, especially attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (27).The majority of studies were conducted retrospectively; however, the potential problems of retrospective studies are recall bias and overexpression of exposure. The small sample size and small number of studies were the notable limitations of this metaanalysis.

#### 6. Conclusion

The findings of this study confirmed that exposure to radiofrequency was related to migraine and headache symptoms. There are no high-quality randomized control trials without methodological problem flaws.

Availability of data and materials: The dataset analyzed during the current study is available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

**Conflicts of interests:** The authors have no relevant conflict interests to declare.

**Consent for publication:** All authors agree to publish the article in the present form.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate:** Not applicable.

Financial disclosure: No financial support was

received for this study

Author contributions: Conceptualization, administration, project and funding acquisition: Masumeh Ghazanfarpour, Mohammad Hasan and Mohammadi, Hashem Lashgari Kalat; Methodology: Roya Nikbakht ,Elnaz Askaripour, Raziye Najafabadipour, Omolbanin Heydari, Mohammad Mohammadi and Hashem Lashgari Kalat; Software: Hashem Lashgari Kalat, Masumeh Ghazanfarpour and Elnaz Askaripour, Raziye Najafabadipour; Validation and supervision: Elnaz Askaripour, Raziye Najafabadipour, Hashem Lashgari Kalat and Mohammad Hasan Mohammadi; Formal analysis: Roya Nikbakht5, Omolbanin Heydari and Masumeh Ghazanfarpour; Investigation: Hashem Lashgari Kalat, Masumeh Ghazanfarpour and Mohammad Hasan Mohammadi; Resources: Elnaz Askaripour, Raziye Najafabadipour , Omolbanin Heydari and Hashem Lashgari Kalat; Data curation, Elnaz Askaripour, Raziye Najafabadipour ,Omolbanin Heydari Hashem, Mohammad Hasan Mohammadi Lashgari Kalat; Writing the original draft: Hashem Lashgari Kalat, Elnaz Askaripour, Raziye Najafabadipour and Mohammad Hasan

#### References

 Milde-Busch A, von Kries R, Thomas S, Heinrich S, Straube A, Radon KJBn. The association between use of electronic media and prevalence of headache in adolescents: results from a population-based cross-sectional study. 2010;10(1):1-10. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2377-10-12PMid:20144204 PMCid:PMC2834664

Mohammadi; Review and editing: All authors

- De Iuliis GN, Newey RJ, King BV, Aitken RJ. Mobile phone radiation induces reactive oxygen species production and DNA damage in human spermatozoa in vitro. PloS one. 2009;4(7):e6446. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0006446PMi d:19649291 PMCid:PMC2714176
- 3. Hardell L, Carlberg M, Mild KH. Epidemiological evidence for an association between use of wireless phones and tumor diseases. Pathophysiology. 2009;16(2-3):113-22.

- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pathophys.2009.01.003P Mid:19268551
- Agarwal A, Deepinder F, Sharma RK, Ranga G, Li J. Effect of cell phone usage on semen analysis in men attending infertility clinic: an observational study. Fertility and sterility. 2008;89(1):124-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.01.166P Mid:17482179
- Galaktionova G, Mastriukova V, Strzhizhovskii A. Sensitivity of mammalian tissues to prolonged exposure to high-tension permanent magnetic fields. Kosmicheskaia biologiia i aviakosmicheskaia meditsina. 1985;19(2):78-81.
- Ashrafinia F, Kiani M, Ghazanfarpour M, Baghini FS. The Effect of Maternal and children exposed to cell phone use on physical and behavioral problems in childhood: A systematic review. Journal of Pediatric Nursing. 2021;8(2):12-22.
- 7. Sandström M, Wilen J, Hansson Mild K, Oftedal GJOM. Mobile phone use and subjective symptoms. Comparison of symptoms experienced by users of analogue and digital mobile phones. 2001;51(1):25-35.
  - https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/51.1.25PMid:112 35824
- 8. Hutter H, Moshammer H, Wallner P, Kundi MJO, medicine E. Subjective symptoms, sleeping problems, and cognitive performance in subjects living near mobile phone base stations. 2006;63(5):307-13.
  - https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.2005.020784PMid:1 6621850 PMCid:PMC2092490
- Söderqvist F, Carlberg M, Hardell LJEH. Use of wireless telephones and self-reported health symptoms: a population-based study among Swedish adolescents aged 15-19 years. 2008;7(1):1-10. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-7-18PMid:18495003 PMCid:PMC2430957
- 10. Lempesi E, Koletsi D, Fleming PS, Pandis N. The reporting quality of randomized controlled trials in orthodontics. The journal of evidence-based dental practice. 2014;14(2):46-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebdp.2013.12.001PMid: 24913524
- 11. Heinrich S, Thomas S, Heumann C, von Kries R, Radon K. Association between exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields assessed by dosimetry and acute symptoms in children and adolescents: a population based cross-sectional study. Environmental health. 2010;9(1):1-9. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-9-75PMid:21108839 PMCid:PMC3006375
- 12. Milde-Busch A, von Kries R, Thomas S, Heinrich S, Straube A, Radon K. The association between use of electronic media and prevalence of headache in adolescents: results from a population-based cross-sectional study. BMC neurology.

- 2010;10(1):1-10. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2377-10-12 PMid:20144204 PMCid:PMC2834664
- 13. Sudan M, Kheifets L, Arah O, Olsen J, Zeltzer L. Prenatal and postnatal cell phone exposures and headaches in children. The open pediatric medicine journal. 2012;6(2012):46. https://doi.org/10.2174/1874309901206010046P Mid:23750182 PMCid:PMC3674098
- 14. Söderqvist F, Carlberg M, Hardell L. Use of wireless telephones and self-reported health symptoms: a population-based study among Swedish adolescents aged 15-19 years. Environmental Health. 2008;7(1):1-10. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-7-18PMid:18495003 PMCid:PMC2430957
- 15. Çaksen H. Electronic screen exposure and headache in children. Annals of Indian Academy of Neurology. 2021;24(1):8. https://doi.org/10.4103/aian.AIAN\_972\_20PMid:3 3911372 PMCid:PMC8061497
- 16. Sheeba Gopalakrishnan U. HEALTH OF CHILDREN IN DIGITAL WORLD-A REVIEW ON SMART PHONE USAGE.
- 17. Byun Y-H, Ha M, Kwon H-J, Choi K-H, Burm E, Choi Y, et al. Epidemiological characteristics of mobile phone ownership and use in Korean children and adolescents. Environmental Health and Toxicology. 2013;28.
  - https://doi.org/10.5620/eht.2013.28.e2013019PM id:24498595 PMCid:PMC3909745
- 18. Söderqvist F, Carlberg M, Hansson Mild K, Hardell L. Childhood brain tumour risk and its association with wireless phones: a commentary. Environmental Health. 2011;10(1):1-5. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-10-106PMid:22182218 PMCid:PMC3278351
- 19. de Vitta A, dal Bello Biancon R, Cornélio GP, Bento TPF, Maciel NM, de Oliveira Perrucini P. Primary headache and factors associated in university students: a cross sectional study. ABCS Health Sciences. 2021;46:e021207-e.
  - https://doi.org/10.7322/abcshs.2020005.1793
- 20. Chiu C-T, Chang Y-H, Chen C-C, Ko M-C, Li C-Y. Mobile phone use and health symptoms in children. Journal of the Formosan Medical Association. 2015;114(7):598-604. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfma.2014.07.002PMid:25115529
- 21. Cho YM, Lim HJ, Jang H, Kim K, Choi JW, Shin C, et al. A follow-up study of the association between mobile phone use and symptoms of ill health. Environmental health and toxicology. 2017;32. https://doi.org/10.5620/eht.e2017001PMid:28111 420 PMCid:PMC5365277
- 22. Taehtinen RE, Sigfusdottir ID, Helgason AR, Kristjansson AL. Electronic screen use and selected somatic symptoms in 10-12 year old children.

Razavi Int J Med. ....e1270

- Preventive medicine. 2014;67:128-33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.07.017PMid:25045838
- 23. Lipton RB, Stewart WF, Celentano DD, Reed ML. Undiagnosed migraine headaches: a comparison of symptom-based and reported physician diagnosis. Archives of internal medicine. 1992;152(6):1273-8. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.1992.004001801 25021PMid:1599358
- 24. Kucuk IG, Eser U, Cevik M, Ongel K. Mobile phone usage characteristics of children at school and parents' approach. Medicine. 2020;9(2):503-8. https://doi.org/10.5455/medscience.2019.08.9218
- 25. Oksanen A, Metsähonkala L, Anttila P, Aromaa M, Jäppilä E, Viander S, et al. Leisure activities in adolescents with headache. Acta Paediatrica. 2005;94(5):609-15.

- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.2005.tb01947.xPMid:16188751
- 26. Durusoy R, Hassoy H, Özkurt A, Karababa AO. Mobile phone use, school electromagnetic field levels and related symptoms: a cross-sectional survey among 2150 high school students in Izmir. Environmental Health. 2017;16(1):1-14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-017-0257-xPMid:28577556 PMCid:PMC5455117
- 27. Birks L, Guxens M, Papadopoulou E, Alexander J, Ballester F, Estarlich M, et al. Maternal cell phone use during pregnancy and child behavioral problems in five birth cohorts. Environment international. 2017;104:122-31https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.03.024P Mid:28392066 PMCid:PMC5506372

20 Razavi Int J Med. ..... e1270.