Published online 2014 May 31.

Re-Bleeding in Patients With Cirrhosis: Evaluation of Esophageal and Gastric Variceal Bleeding and Their Relationship With a Model for the End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) Score and Child-Pugh Score

Mohsen Ebrahimi¹; Hamidreza Reihani¹; Mohammadreza Sheikhian²; Ehsan Bolvardi¹; Masumeh Pashavi¹: Elham Pishbin^{1,*}

¹Department of Emergency Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, IR Iran ²Department of Internal Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, IR Iran

*Corresponding author: Elham Pishbin, Department of Emergency Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, IR Iran. Tel: +98-9153176347, E-mail: pishbine@ mums.ac.ir

Received: November 22, 2013; Revised: April 2, 2014; Accepted: April 22, 2014

Background: Variceal bleeding is one of the leading causes of mortality in patients with cirrhosis. Child-pugh (CP) score and model for the end-stage liver disease (MELD) score systems are the two main methods for predicting the complications and mortality of cirrhosis; however, none of these methods has been definitively superior to the other.

Objectives: In this study we compare and determine these scores in both groups of patients with esophageal and gastric variceal bleeding. Furthermore, re-bleeding rate in these two groups will be studied and compared.

Patients and Methods: In this cohort study all patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding chief complaint referred to the emergency ward of Imam Reza Hospital, Mashhad, Iran from April 2012 to April 2013 were enrolled. Then patients distributed in to two groups of esophageal and gastric variceal bleeding based on the endoscopic results. Finally the relationship between different clinical and paraclinical variables and bleeding rate in these two groups compared by means of Child-Pugh and MELD scores. T-test, 22 test, and the Kruskal-Wallis test were used for analysis by means of SPSS 17.0 for Windows. Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and P <0.05 was considered to be significant.

Results: Among 34 understudied patients, 12 patients (8 males) had gastric varices and 22 patients (15 males) had esophageal varices. No significant difference between these two groups was observed. Two patients (5.8%) including 1 patient with gastric varices and 1 patient with esophageal varices had re-bleeding before six weeks; also 8 patients (23.5%) including 3 patients were also reports with gastric varices and 5 patients with esophageal varices had re-bleeding after six weeks. Fisher's exact test showed that there is no statistically significant relationship between the re-bleeding and the disease groups (P value = 0.098). The results showed that there is no difference between the variable levels in both groups.

Conclusions: The level of two CP and MELD scores and their individual variables in two groups of patients with esophageal and gastric varices bleeding were not significantly different. On the other hand, re-bleeding rate was not different between these two groups.

Keywords:Liver Diseases; Esophageal and Gastric Varice; Bleeding

1. Background

Variceal bleeding is one of the leading causes of mortality in patients with cirrhosis (1). Approximately forty percent of cirrhotic patients are affected by variceal bleeding in the process of their disease in which death occurs in 13-30% of the cases (2-6). Despite the available treatments, one out of four cirrhotic patients has difficulty either in bleeding control or they are affected by the recurrence of bleeding in the first 6 weeks after the initial bleeding (7). Although varices can form in any part of the gastrointestinal tract, the risk of bleeding from varices in cirrhotic patients varies depending on the varices location, size, and appearance (8). Esophagus and stomach are the most common parts which form varices (9). The gastric fundus varices and distal esophageal varices are more superficial and less supported than the other varices locations; therefore, there are more prone to rupture and bleeding (10). So far, many noninvasive factors have been used to estimate the varices progress situation in cirrhotic patients. Studies have shown that low levels of albumin, high levels of urea nitrogen, infection, and the presence of varices in stomach are the predicting factors of variceal re-bleeding (11-14). On the other hand, different scoring systems have been used in order to predict morbidity and mortality in cirrhotic patients. Two samples of the

Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:

As far as we know, no study has reviewed and compared these two CP and MELD scores in both groups of patients with esophageal and gastric varices bleeding. In this study, we compare and determine the CP Score and MELD Score in both groups of patients with esophageal and gastric varices bleeding. Furthermore, rebleeding rates in these two groups will be studied and compared.

© 2014 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

most commonly used systems are Child-Pugh (CP) score and MELD (Models for the End-Stage Liver Disease) scores (15). However, none of these methods are definitively superior to the other. Each of the scores has some usage limitations, CP score is restricted considering the two criteria of encephalopathy and ascites which are diagnosed individually and may vary depending on each practitioner; and also the complicated formula to calculate the final MELD score, using three biochemical parameters, is the limitation of this new scoring system (16-19). Several studies have shown that a higher score of CP or MELD is associated with a higher risk of bleeding (20). Also the history of variceal bleeding shows the increased risk of re-bleeding (21-23).

2. Objectives

As far as we know, no study has investigated and compared the two CP and MELD scores in both groups of patients with esophageal and gastric varices bleeding. In this study, we compare and determine the CP Score and MELD Score in both groups of patients with esophageal and gastric variceal bleeding. Furthermore, re-bleeding rate in these two groups will be studied and compared.

3. Patients and Methods

In this cohort study, all the patients complaining about their upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB) referred to the Emergency Ward of Imam Reza (AS) Hospital from April 2012 to April 2013 were enrolled. Inclusion criteria were liver cirrhosis of any etiology; presence of esophageal or gastric varices based on the endoscopic results, and the first incidence of varcieal related UGIB. Also, patients with congestive gastropathy, ectopic varice, hepatocellular carcinoma, and non-cirrhotic UGIB were excluded. This study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences and all patients were informed about the content of the study. Patients' data were entered in the analysis program by code and data of the patients were published as a general result. The diagnostic basis for liver cirrhosis was the histological, clinical, and biochemical tests and the used diagnostic tools for the varices were ultrasound and endoscopy. Bleeding type was determined according to the endoscopic reports; then the patients were divided into two groups of esophageal or gastric variceal bleeding groups.

For each patient, variables such as age, sex, creatinine, bilirubin, albumin, INR (International Normalized Ratio), ascites, liver hepatic encephalopathy, ALT (alanine aminotransferase), AST (aspartate aminotransferase) and PT (prothrombin time) were obtained. Through the evaluation of the mentioned variables, CP and MELD scores were calculated for each patient. CP score was formed from the 5 total factors of bilirubin, albumin, INR, ascites, and hepatic encephalopathy (8). Also, MELD score was calculated using the following formula proposed by the Mayo Clinic (24): MELD SCORE: 10 (0.957 Ln [creatinine (mg/dL)] + 0.378 Ln [bilirubin (mg/dL)] + 1.12Ln INR + 0.643). The readers of the MELD and CP scores were different and were blinded to the results of the other tests. Finally, patients were followed up for 6 months for recurrent bleeding. Early re-bleeding was considered if the recurrent bleeding was within less than six weeks of the first incidence, and it was a late re-bleeding if the recurrent bleeding was within more than six weeks. Results and information about demographic, clinical and paraclinical observations were entered into the computer and the statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 17.0 for Windows. Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation and P < 0.05 was considered to be significant. In order to compare the quantitative variables, unpaired t-test or its nonparametric equivalent, the Mann-Whitney was used. In order to compare the qualitative variables, χ^2 test was used and, if necessary, the Fisher's exact test was applied. In order to evaluate the difference between the values of a variable among multiple independent variables, one-way ANOVA or its nonparametric equivalent, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used. In order to have a simultaneous evaluation of the understudied variants in predicting the disease, method of multiple logistic regressions was used.

4. Results

Among 52 patients who referred to the emergency ward, forty-seven patients who had the inclusion criteria entered the study; then 13 patients were excluded due to the unrelated UGIB (9 patients) and ectopic varices (4 patients).

4.1. Basic Information

Among 34 understudied patients (mean age of 58.06 ± 15.9 years), 12 patients (8 males) had gastric varices and 22 patients (15 males) had esophageal varices. The average level of ALT and AST were 63.25 ± 58.56 (mg/dL) and 76.64 \pm 76 (mg/dL), respectively. Prothrombin time of patients was as 5.77 ± 17.98 seconds and the albumin level of patients was 0.37 ± 2.86 . The average of three parameters of bilirubin, creatinine and INR was obtained as 2.36 ± 2.55 mg/dL, 1.01 ± 1.37 (mg/dL) and 0.96 ± 1.8 , respectively. The results obtained from the ultrasound and clinical examinations also revealed that 15 subjects were in the medically controlled ascites and 14 patients were in the poorly controlled ascites. Hepatic encephalopathy in 5 patients was reported as medically controlled and in 9 patients as poorly controlled. MELD score and CP score were 8.75 \pm 16.67 and 2.54 \pm 9.73, respectively. However, there was no significant difference between two groups of esophageal varice and gastric varice in the first episode of UGIB (Table 1).

4.2. Re-Bleeding Follow-up

A total of 10 (29.4%) patients (gastric versus esophageal

| Parameters | Gastric Varice (n = 12) | Esophageal Varice (n = 22) | P Value | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------|--|
| Sex | | | 0.29 | |
| Male | 8 | 15 | | |
| Female | 4 | 7 | | |
| Age, y | 60.75 ± 19.03 | 56.59 ± 14.18 | 0.47 | |
| ALT, mg/dL | 80 ± 91.58 | 46.86 ± 38.56 | 0.34 | |
| AST, mg/dL | 91.42 ± 88.48 | 67.69 ± 70.14 | 0.42 | |
| Serum albumin, mg/dL | 2.76 ± 0.37 | 2.92 ± 0.36 | 0.23 | |
| Serum bilirubin, mg/dL | 2.81 ± 2.96 | 2.4 ± 2.02 | 0.69 | |
| Serum creatinine, mg/dL | 1.39 ± 0.86 | 1.35 ± 1.09 | 0.74 | |
| Prothrombin time, s | 16.28 ± 4.31 | 18.91 ± 6.33 | 0.16 | |
| INR | 1.6 ± 0.77 | 1.91±1.05 | 0.24 | |
| Ascites | | | 0.14 | |
| Number | 0 | 5 | | |
| Moderate | 5 | 10 | | |
| Severe | 7 | 7 | | |
| Hepatic encephalopathy | | | 0.31 | |
| Number | 5 | 15 | | |
| Moderate | 3 | 2 | | |
| Severe | 4 | 5 | | |
| MELD score | 15.83 ± 9.26 | 17.14 ± 8.65 | 0.6 | |
| CHILD score | 10.33 ± 2.39 | 9.41±2.61 | 0.32 | |

Table 1. Clinical and Biochemical Characteristics, MELD and Child-Pugh Between Two Groups of Study (Gastric Varice vs. Esophageal Varice ^a

^a Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; INR, international normalized ratio; MELD, model for the end-stage liver disease.



Figure 1. Frequency of Re-Bleeding According to the Patient Groups During a Six Months Follow-up

varice group: 4 versus 6 patients) had recurrent bleeding during a six-month follow-up. Fisher's exact test showed that there is no statistically significant relationship between the re-bleeding and the disease groups (P value = 0.098) (Figure 1).

4.3. Re-Bleeding Risk Factors

In order to investigate the relationship between the understudied variables and re-bleeding occurrence, patients were divided in to three groups of without rebleeding, with less than six weeks re-bleeding and more than six weeks re-bleeding. Results showed that there was no significant difference between the studied variables in these three groups (Table 2). Considering the fact that no significant difference was found between the two groups of with and without re-bleeding in MELD and CP scores, it can be concluded that sensitivity and specificity of these two scores for predicting re-bleeding could not be determined.

5. Discussion

Bleeding from gastroesophageal varices is one of the major causes of mortality in patients with liver cirrhosis (13, 25). So far, no study is conducted to compare the rebleeding risk factors between two groups of patients with esophageal and gastric varices bleeding, and most studies have also tried to determine the re-bleeding

| Table 2. Results of Kruskal-Wallis Test Concerning the Study Variables in the Re Bleeding | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------|---|--------|-------------------------|--------|---------|-------|--|--|
| | Re-bleeding After Six Weeks (n = 8) | | Re-Bleeding Before Six Weeks (n = 2) | | No Re-Bleeding (n = 24) | | P Value | χ2 | | |
| | $Mean\pm SD$ | Middle | $Mean \pm SD$ | Middle | Mean ± SD | Middle | | | | |
| Age, y | 60.0 ± 16.7 | 65.0 | 57.0 ± 0.0 | 57.0 | 57.3 ± 16.5 | 57.5 | 0.72 | 0.632 | | |
| Child-Pugh score | 9.3±1.6 | 10.0 | 13.5 ± 0.7 | 13.5 | 9.5 ± 2.6 | 9.0 | 0.13 | 3.97 | | |
| MELD score | 13.2 ± 4.4 | 13.1 | 29.0 ± 1.4 | 29.0 | 16.8 ± 9.2 | 14.0 | 0.14 | 3.87 | | |
| AST, mg/dL | 88.8 ± 110.0 | 42.5 | 113.0 ± 15.5 | 11.3 | 68.6 ± 71.5 | 53.5 | 0.25 | 2.74 | | |
| ALT, mg/dL | 75.7 ± 11.4 | 34.0 | 90.5±7.7 | 90.5 | 50.1 ± 4.1 | 36.0 | 0.25 | 2.21 | | |
| INR | 1.25 ± 0.07 | 1.25 | 2.7 ± 1.14 | 2.8 | 1.53 ± 0.72 | 1.4 | 0.10 | 9.16 | | |
| Serum creatinine, mg/dL | 2.4 ± 0.71 | 1.25 | 1.56 ± 0.75 | 2.8 | 1.22±1.06 | 1.4 | 0.00 | 11.08 | | |
| Prothrom- bin time, s | 14.6 ± 0.42 | 14.6 | 22.71 ± 6.14 | 22.5 | 16.69 ± 5.08 | 15.3 | 0.02 | 7.51 | | |
| Serum bilirubin, mg/dL | 1.05±0.35 | 1.05 | 4.7±2.89 | 4.7 | 1.95±1.81 | 1.3 | 0.06 | 5.5 | | |
| Serum albumin, mg/dL | 2.45 ± 0.21 | 2.45 | 2.85±0.13 | 2.95 | 2.9 ± 0.37 | 2.8 | 0.22 | 3.01 | | |

Ebrahimi M et al.

risk factors, regardless of the type of varices. Previous studies have shown that the factors such as high urea, low albumin in patients with gastric varices and high hepatic venous pressure gradient, are good predictors for re-bleeding in patients with variceal bleeding (11-14). However, it is believed that the two scores of MELD and CP in patients with liver cirrhosis are highly important in determination of patients' prognosis. The result of this study showed that CP score and MELD score were not significantly different in patients with re-bleeding before and after 6 weeks and without bleeding, it means that in this study, these two scoring systems could not be effective in the predicting of re-bleeding; whereas other studies have shown that these two scores have been extremely efficient in predicting the mortality of patients (26). In a study by Hunter et al. the patients with a higher level of MELD scores (above 18) had significantly more re-bleeding in comparison with the group of lower than 18 scores (7). In another study, it is shown that MELD score has a significant relationship with the occurrence of variceal re-bleeding during hospitalization (27). It seems that the underlying variables such as simultaneous underlying disease or concomitant factors such as varices size, number and location have not been addressed by any of the studies so far. In the present study; although, the frequency of re-bleeding was more in the esophageal varices group than the gastric varices group, no statistically significant relationships were found between the re-bleeding and disease group. In our study, early bleeding was observed in 5.8% of the patients. In another study, 9.6% of patients experienced re-bleeding during their hospitalization and 50% after their discharge from the hospital (28); also in another study conducted by Bambha et al. the re-bleeding rate was reported as 16% within 5 days after the first bleeding (27). On the other hand, the late re-bleeding rate in our study was 23.5%, while in other studies, the incidence of re-bleeding in cirrhotic patients has been reported approximately 9 to 19% (29). However, regardless of the bleeding before or after six weeks, a total of approximately 30% of patients in this study have experienced re-bleeding which was pretty much close to the reported frequency in the studies by Fallatah et al. and Bambha et al. This difference could be resulted from differences in treatment protocols and used interventions or differences in the method of patients' selection and the sample size (27, 28). However, some factors such as varices location, size, appearance, and pressure may be considered as the other causes of these differences. Also, another difference which is obvious in other studies comparing with the conducted study is the relationship between variables such as ascites, varices size, the number of bands used for legating the wound and prothrombin time with the occurrence of rebleeding. These four variables are among the factors that have had a significant relationship with the occurrence of re-bleeding in other studies; however, besides the varices size and number of bands used for legating the wound which have not been examined in the present study, 2 variables of prothrombin time and ascites did not have a significant relationship with the risk of re-bleeding. In the previous studies, ascites has been mentioned as an important factor in predicting the severity of variceal acute bleeding. The reason that the previous studies focused on this topic was the impact of increased intraabdominal pressure on portal vein hypertension (30), whereas in this study we did not examine the relationship between the severity of bleeding and the studied variables, but the results showed that there was no association between the incidence of variceal re-bleeding and ascites in cirrhotic patients. Another important finding of our study which has been confirmed in other similar studies is the insignificant aminotransferase levels (AST and ALT) roles in prediction of re-bleeding (27, 31). Among the biomarkers that can be used to determine the liver dysfunction status, we can mention the blood bilirubin, albumin and prothrombin time or INR; but the results of this study showed that there was no significant correlation between any of the liver function biomarkers and re-bleeding, either in the cases of less than six weeks or more than six weeks. This insignificant relation was found for age and creatinine as well. One of the main limitations of our study is the lack of evaluation of the understudied treatment protocols which could have a significant relation to the re-bleeding outcome. On the other hand, socio-economic differences of patients and their varying attend towards the treatment of diseases were probably other effective factors in re-bleeding which was not noticed in this study. Also, the effects of some of other variables such as bacterial peritonitis, infection, and hyponatremia were not remarked in predicting factors for re-bleeding; although, none of these variables can directly influence on CP and MELD scores. Other effective issues in this study are probably the frequency and position of varices and the relationship between these two variables which have not been assessed in this study. Since the results of this study showed that in there is no difference between patients with esophageal and gastric varices in relation to the clinical and paraclinical factors, it is recommended to compare patients with different varices position, size and other factors which mentioned in the study limitations section in further studies. It is also proposed to design a study with a higher number of samples to find a sensitive and specific score based on ROC curve for predicting re-bleeding based on MELD and CP scores. The level of two CP and MELD scores were not significantly different between two groups of patients with esophageal and gastric variceal bleeding. In addition, individual variables of CP and MELD scores were not effective as a predictive factor of re-bleeding. On the other hand, re-bleeding rate in these two understudied groups showed no significant differences.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mashhad University of Medical Sciences who supported this paper financially.

Authors' Contribution

The contribution of the authors as mentioned below

Razavi Int J Med. 2014;2(2):e16324

with their responsibility in the research. Mohsen Ebrahimi: writing the manuscript, conception and designing. Ehsan Bolvardi: writing the manuscript, final approval of the manuscript, literatures search. Hamidreza Reihani: critical revision of the manuscript, provision materials, patients, or resources. Masumeh Pashayi: writing the manuscript, administrative support, analysis and interpretation. Elham Pishbin: critical revision of the article, provision materials, patients, or resources.

Financial Disclosure

This manuscript has been read and approved by all of the Authors and there is not potential conflict of interest for them.

Funding/Support

Our research project was partially sponsored by Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran, and grant number 910876.

References

- Gado AS, Ebeid BA, Abdelmohsen AM, Axon AT. Clinical outcome of acute upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage among patients admitted to a government hospital in Egypt. *Saudi J Gastroenterol.* 2012;**18**(1):34–9.
- Carbonell N, Pauwels A, Serfaty L, Fourdan O, Levy VG, Poupon R. Improved survival after variceal bleeding in patients with cirrhosis over the past two decades. *Hepatology*. 2004;40(3):652–9.
- Chalasani N, Kahi C, Francois F, Pinto A, Marathe A, Bini EJ, et al. Improved patient survival after acute variceal bleeding: a multicenter, cohort study. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2003;98(3):653–9.
- Graham DY, Smith JL. The course of patients after variceal hemorrhage. Gastroenterology. 1981;80(4):800–9.
- Toubia N, Sanyal AJ. Portal hypertension and variceal hemorrhage. Med Clin North Am. 2008;92(3):551–74.
- Merli M, Nicolini G, Angeloni S, Rinaldi V, De Santis A, Merkel C, et al. Incidence and natural history of small esophageal varices in cirrhotic patients. *J Hepatol.* 2003;38(3):266–72.
- Hunter SS, Hamdy S. Predictors of early re-bleeding and mortality after acute variceal haemorrhage. *Arab J Gastroenterol.* 2013;14(2):63–7.
- Kumar A, Sharma P, Sarin SK. Adding variceal status to Child-Turcotte-Pugh score improves its performance in predicting early mortality in cirrhosis: the Child-Turcotte-Pugh-Kumar score. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2012;24(11):1348–9.
- Xu L, Ji F, Xu QW, Zhang MQ. Risk factors for predicting early variceal rebleeding after endoscopic variceal ligation. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2011;17(28):3347–52.
- Sarin SK, Lahoti D, Saxena SP, Murthy NS, Makwana UK. Prevalence, classification and natural history of gastric varices: a longterm follow-up study in 568 portal hypertension patients. *Hepatology*. 1992;**16**(6):1343–9.
- Hong WD, Zhu QH, Huang ZM, Chen XR, Jiang ZC, Xu SH, et al. Predictors of esophageal varices in patients with HBV-related cirrhosis: a retrospective study. BMC Gastroenterol. 2009;9:11.
- Giannini E, Botta F, Borro P, Risso D, Romagnoli P, Fasoli A, et al. Platelet count/spleen diameter ratio: proposal and validation of a non-invasive parameter to predict the presence of oesophageal varices in patients with liver cirrhosis. *Gut.* 2003;52(8):1200–5.
- de Franchis R, Baveno V. Revising consensus in portal hypertension: report of the Baveno V consensus workshop on methodology of diagnosis and therapy in portal hypertension. *J Hepatol.* 2010;53(4):762-8.
- 14. Goulis J, Armonis A, Patch D, Sabin C, Greenslade L, Burroughs AK. Bacterial infection is independently associated with failure

to control bleeding in cirrhotic patients with gastrointestinal hemorrhage. *Hepatology*. 1998;**27**(5):1207–12.

- Kim MY, Um SH, Baik SK, Seo YS, Park SY, Lee JI, et al. Clinical features and outcomes of gastric variceal bleeding: retrospective Korean multicenter data. *Clin Mol Hepatol.* 2013;**19**(1):36–44.
- Perkins L, Jeffries M, Patel T. Utility of preoperative scores for predicting morbidity after cholecystectomy in patients with cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2004;2(12):1123–8.
- Heuman DM, Mihas A. Utility of the MELD score for assessing 3-month survival in patients with liver cirrhosis: one more positive answer. *Gastroenterology*. 2003;125(3):992–3.
- Dunn W, Jamil LH, Brown LS, Wiesner RH, Kim WR, Menon KV, et al. MELD accurately predicts mortality in patients with alcoholic hepatitis. *Hepatology*. 2005;**41**(2):353–8.
- Terra C, Guevara M, Torre A, Gilabert R, Fernandez J, Martin-Llahi M, et al. Renal failure in patients with cirrhosis and sepsis unrelated to spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: value of MELD score. *Gastroenterology*. 2005;**129**(6):1944–53.
- 20. de Franchis R, Primignani M. Why do varices bleed? *Gastroenterol Clin North Am.* 1992;**21**(1):85–101.
- 21. Amitrano L, Guardascione MA, Bennato R, Manguso F, Balzano A. MELD score and hepatocellular carcinoma identify patients at different risk of short-term mortality among cirrhotics bleeding from esophageal varices. *J Hepatol.* 2005;**42**(6):820–5.
- Chalasani N, Kahi C, Francois F, Pinto A, Marathe A, Bini EJ, et al. Model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) for predicting mortality in patients with acute variceal bleeding. *Hepatology*. 2002;35(5):1282–4.
- 23. Lee JY, Lee JH, Kim SJ, Choi DR, Kim KH, Kim YB, et al. [Comparison of predictive factors related to the mortality and rebleeding

caused by variceal bleeding: Child-Pugh score, MELD score, and Rockall score]. *Taehan Kan Hakhoe Chi.* 2002;**8**(4):458–64.

- 24. group MC.. *The MELD Model UNOS Modification*. 2012. Available from: http://www.mayoclinic.org/meld/mayomodel6.html.
- Augustin S, Muntaner L, Altamirano JT, Gonzalez A, Saperas E, Dot J, et al. Predicting early mortality after acute variceal hemorrhage based on classification and regression tree analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2009;7(12):1347–54.
- Pashai SM, Ebrahimi M, Sheikhian MR. Comparison of Prognosis in Patients with Liver Cirrhosis and its Correlation with the Model for the End-Stage Liver Disease and Child-Pugh Scores in Patients with Esophageal or Gastric Variceal Bleeding. *Galen Med J.* 2013;2(3):106-13.
- Bambha K, Kim WR, Pedersen R, Bida JP, Kremers WK, Kamath PS. Predictors of early re-bleeding and mortality after acute variceal haemorrhage in patients with cirrhosis. *Gut.* 2008;57(6):814–20.
- Fallatah HI, Al Nahdi H, Al Khatabi M, Akbar HO, Qari YA, Sibiani AR, et al. Variceal hemorrhage: Saudi tertiary center experience of clinical presentations, complications and mortality. World J Hepatol. 2012;4(9):268–73.
- Lo GH, Chen WC, Chen MH, Lin CP, Lo CC, Hsu PI, et al. Endoscopic ligation vs. nadolol in the prevention of first variceal bleeding in patients with cirrhosis. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 2004;**59**(3):333-8.
- Sarin SK, Kumar A, Angus PW, Baijal SS, Baik SK, Bayraktar Y, et al. Diagnosis and management of acute variceal bleeding: Asian Pacific Association for Study of the Liver recommendations. *Hepa*tol Int. 2011;5(2):607-24.
- D'Amico G, Garcia-Tsao G, Pagliaro L. Natural history and prognostic indicators of survival in cirrhosis: a systematic review of 118 studies. J Hepatol. 2006;44(1):217–31.